



Guiding Principles

 Improve and ensure access for the full spectrum of patients with behavioral health needs, irrespective of payor

 Given current fiscal constraints, achieve financial sustainability/budget-neutral



Option 1: Maintain IOP

- Would need to re-structure to be budget-neutral, requiring significant changes to align with standard IOP models, including possibly:
 - Impact to provider type to allow for professional fee billing
 - Change in acuity and enrollment criteria to be more of a step-down
 - Shorter duration of enrollment with transition to community services
 - Changes in non-clinical services
 - Increases in clinician productivity to benchmarks
- Would not address larger access needs, especially for nonmedicare patients



Option 2: Transition to Wellness Center

- Would improve access for all patients, independent of payor (would include new contract w/ BHCS for SMI Medi-Cal patients, as well as existing Beacon Contract for mildmoderate)
- Would address financial losses in current model
- Would require handoff/transition planning for current IOP patients who need a higher level of care in close collaboration with Alameda County BHCS



Option 3: Improve IOP and add Wellness Center model

- Would combine options #1 & #2
- Would allow AHS to serve full spectrum of patient needs; but would create a twotiered system as MediCal patients still not eligible for IOP
- Given fiscal limitations, would need to be done with existing staff and space, which has been a challenge before

